

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/705,496		11/12/2003	Shinichi Ishigame	009270-0306759	4772		
909	7590	09/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		NTHROP, LLP	ST CYR, DANIEL				
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2876			
				DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Appl	icant(s)				
		10/705,496	ISHI	GAME ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	Init				
		Daniel St.Cyr	2876					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the corresp	ondence address				
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of t	N. 1.136(a). In no event, howe eply within the statutory min od will apply and will expire tute, cause the application to	over, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail become ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely. ing date of this communicatio .S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	November 2003.						
2a) <u></u>								
3)□								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1.3.5.6.8-17 and 23-35 is/are pendid 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.3.5.6.8-17 and 23-35 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from considerated.	ation.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ected to by the Exami	ner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 Ci	FR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the	e drawing(s) is objected	to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the	attached Office Actio	n or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been rece ents have been rece riority documents ha eau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ive been received in th (a)).	. <u>09/793,987</u> .				
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary (PTO-4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 rr No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/12/03</u> .	<sub>08)</sub> 5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent A Other:					

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the pre-amendment filed 11/12/03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8-17, 2 3-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aubrey, US Patent No. 4,798,942, cited by the applicant, in view of Cubic Transportation System (hereinafter Cubic), cited by the applicant.

Aubrey disclose an easy access ticket transport mechanism comprising: a ticket processing system 62 for processing information encoded on a magnetic stripe on the ticket 16 on a ticket is provided within the housing 12 along the ticket path, the processing system includes a read head 64, a write/encode head 66, and a verify head 68 mounted on the supporting wall at spaced positions along the ticket path, the various heads are positioned to

Application/Control Number: 10/705,496

Art Unit: 2876

process information on a band or stripe located on the lower face of a ticket moving along the path (see figures 1-3, 7; col. 6, line 3+).

Audrey fails to disclose or fairly suggest that the ticket processing includes wireless and judging means for processing the wireless tickets and that a first and a second conveying means arranged in series.

Cubic discloses a transportation system comprising: an automatic ticket-examining apparatus for collecting wireless tickets; wireless communication means provided on each end of the surface of the body; and having conveying means in both ends of the apparatus.

In view of Cubic's teachings, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Aubrey to perform both contact and non-contact transactions. Such modification would enhance the system operation and would make the system more practical. With regard to some of the customize limitations, such having both conveying mechanism arranged in series, these limitations fall within the engineering design choice, failing to provide any unexpected results. Therefore, it would have been obvious extension as taught by Audrey.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ohtsuki et al, US Patent No. 5,831,547, disclose a wireless card. Mimata, US Patent No. 6,070,146, discloses a ticket issuing system, ticket checking system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 571-272-2407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,496

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel St.Cyr Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS September 20, 2004